

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY**

Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012

*******Draft Document – Subject to Commission Approval*******

CALL TO ORDER: Chairman Savaria called the Meeting to order at 7:03 p.m. in the Town Hall Meeting Room, 11 Rye Street, Broad Brook, CT.

ESTABLISHMENT OF QUORUM:

Present: Regular Members Ron Savaria (Chairman), Michael Koczera, John Malin, Richard Osborn, Robert Slate, and Alternate Member Kathryn Roloff (arrived at 7:10 p.m.).

Unable to Attend: Regular Member Michael Sawka.

Guests: Selectman Richard Pippin (Inland/Wetlands Liaison); Kathy Pippin, Board of Finance.

Chairman Savaria noted the establishment of a quorum with 5 Regular Members; Alternate Member Roloff arrived at 7:10 p.m. All Regular members will sit in on votes this evening. If, for any reason, a Regular Member must step down from service, Alternate Members will serve in rotation as noted at each Agenda Item.

Also in attendance was Wetlands Agent/Zoning Enforcement Officer Robin Newton.

AGENDA ADDITIONS: None.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

1. February 1, 2012/Regular Meeting:

MOTION: To APPROVE the Minutes of Regular Meeting dated February 1, 2012 as written.

Osborn moved/Slate seconded/

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Savaria/Slate)

(No one opposed/no abstentions)

2. February 15, 2012/Special Meeting:

MOTION: To APPROVE the Minutes of Special Meeting dated February 15, 2012 as written.

Osborn moved/Slate seconded/

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY
Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012**

2

**VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Savaria/Slate)
(No one opposed/no abstentions)**

NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS/East Windsor Inland Wetlands Agency – Regulation Revisions:

The following Legal Notice, which appeared in the Journal Inquirer on Thursday, February 23, 2012, and Thursday, March 1, 2012, was read by Chairman Savaria:

- The East Windsor Inland Wetland Watercourse Agency will hold a regular meeting starting at 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 7, 2012 at the Town Hall, 11 Rye Street, Broad Brook, Connecticut, with the following Public Hearing to be heard:

East Windsor Inland Wetlands Agency – Regulation Revisions.

Copies of the application, documentation and plans are on file in the Inland Wetlands Office. All interested persons may appear and be heard. Dated East Windsor, this 21st day of February 2012.

Ronald Savaria, Chairman
East Windsor Inland Wetland Agency

Chairman Savaria opened the Public Hearing, noting the Commission had reviewed, and offered comments, on these proposed Regulation changes at previous Meetings. Some of the revisions have been made to reflect changes in the State Statutes, while others have been made to clarify regulation language. He queried the Commissioners for questions.

Commissioner Malin:

- **Page 2**, regarding “clear cutting”. He questioned that clear cutting would allow 2” diameter trees to remain in the area, as clear cutting would seem to infer that all trees would be removed. Wetlands Agent Newton concurred, but noted the definition is taken from the DEEP training sessions. She noted clear cutting doesn’t allow “stumping” as-of-right.

LET THE RECORD SHOW Alternate Commissioner Roloff arrived at the Meeting at 7:10 p.m.

Wetlands Agent Newton reviewed the revisions again:

- **Page 2 – Clear cutting:** See comments above.
- **Page 6, Section 4.1, Sub-section a – Permitted uses as-of-right:** Clarification of permitted uses as-of-right which require a determination to be made by the Commission.
- **Page 8, Section 6.1, Permitted uses as-of-right:** Clarification of permitted uses as-of-right which require a determination to be made by the Commission.
- **Page 9, Section 7.1 As-of-right activity:** Clarification of the need to acquire an as-of-right determination to be made by the Commission. The language provides consistency with other regulations mentioned above.
- **Page 9, Section 7.3 - Submission of additional information regarding an application:** Sets timeframe for submission to give Commission sufficient/reasonable review time.

- **Page 9, Section 7.4.1:** Deletion of redundant requirement.
- **Page 10, Sub-section a:** Sets specifics for submission of information.
- **Page 11, Sub-section b:** Clarification of standards for expert testimony/information.
- **Page 11, Section 7.8:** Clarification of submission of documentation.
- **Page 12, Sub-section a:** Reiteration of Statutory timeframe.
- **Page 12, Section 8.5:** Sets timeframe for submission to give Commission sufficient and/or reasonable review time.
- **Page 15, Section 10.7:** Clarification of conclusion of feasible and prudent alternatives, also specifies the governing Statutes regarding same.
- **Page 16, Section 11.6:** Reiteration of Statutory timeframe.
- **Page 16, Section 11.6:** Clarification that applicant bears the responsibility for recording the approval on the Land Records.
- **Page 16, Section 11.6, Sub-section e:** Clarifies, and includes, the Wetlands Agent as a consenting party for transfer of permit.
- **Page 17, Section 13.2:** Clarification of specifics of calculation for Performance Bonds.
- **Page 18, Sub-section a:** Clarification of definition of receipt of mailings; clarification of date of issuance; deletion of unnecessary requirement. Commissioner Slate questioned who dates the mailings, is it the post office or Town employees? Wetlands Agent Newton clarified mailing is done in-house at the Town Hall via automated mail equipment; employees have no access to changing the date.

MOTION: To OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING at 7:18 p.m.

Slate moved/Koczera seconded/

**VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Savaria/Slate)
(No one opposed/no abstentions)**

Chairman Savaria opened discussion to the audience:

Jay Ussery, of J. R. Russo and Associates:

- referenced the definition of clear cutting on **Page 2**, which doesn't include "stumping"; he then referenced the proposed language for **Page 6, Section 4.1, Sub-section a – Permitted uses as-of-right**, and noted agriculture is a permitted use. Mr. Ussery suggested if stumping isn't allowed then the land wouldn't be useful for agriculture. Wetlands Agent Newton and Chairman Savaria clarified that stumping isn't automatically allowed as-of-right, but someone could – and should - apply for a permit to stump the property.
- Regarding **Page 6, Section 4.1, Sub-section a – Permitted uses as-of-right** again, Mr. Ussery suggested that the word "subdivision" in the language "The provisions of this subdivision shall not be construed to include" didn't apply to these agricultural uses; he felt it was a typo. Wetlands Agent Newton concurred.

Chairman Savaria referred to **Selectman Pippin**, noting he had previously commented on the Commission's use of a 100' distance for the upland review area. He questioned if Selectman Pippin

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY
Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012**

4

had any further comments regarding that distance?

Selectman Pippin suggested he had thought there was a recommendation to use 90' and the Commission had rounded it up to 100' but Wetlands Agent Newton has advised him there is no State Statute regarding the actual distance. Selectman Pippin also agreed with Mr. Ussery regarding the need to address the issue of stumping related to agricultural use. Chairman Savaria suggested the Commission was surprised by the State's definition as well.

Discussion followed regarding attempting to make the public aware of the need for a permit. Discussion continued regarding the definition of stumping, what diameter trees/brush would be subject to the definition, etc. Wetlands Agent Newton suggested the Commission was getting into semantics trying to state the definition; she felt a common sense approach should prevail when considering stumping work.

Wetlands Agent Newton suggested the following *additional language* with regard to:

Page 2: "Clear-cutting" means the harvest of timber in a fashion which removes all trees down to a two-inch diameter at breast height. Clear Cutting does not include stumping. **ANY STUMPING ACTIVITY WILL REQUIRE A PERMIT APPLICATION TO THE INLAND WETLANDS AGENCY.**

The Commission and the audience members concluded they had no further questions or suggestions.

MOTION: To CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING at 7:25 p.m.

Slate moved/Osborn seconded/

**VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Savaria/Slate)
(No one opposed/no abstentions)**

MOTION: To APPROVE the changes to the Town of East Windsor Inland Wetlands Regulations as submitted and discussed at the March 7, 2012 Regular Meeting.

Osborn moved/Slate seconded/

**VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Savaria/Slate)
(No one opposed/no abstentions)**

NEW APPLICATIONS TO BE RECEIVED: None.

OLD BUSINESS/1. East Windsor Cemetery Association – Springdale Cemetery, Warehouse Point – Cease and Desist Order for Conducting a Regulated Activity without a Permit:

Wetlands Agent Newton noted the Cemetery Association is still under a Cease & Desist Order, however remediation work has slowed. She asked Mr. Ussery to give the Commission an update on the status of the proposed repairs.

Mr. Ussery reported the Town has hired J. R. Russo and Associates to put together a plan and a

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY
Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012**

recommendation for repair of the slope which failed in the Spring. He noted the wetlands have been flagged and mapped. Based on the supposition that the slope failed due to Hurricane Irene Town Engineer Norton contacted FEMA to see if there was any financial assistance available. After meeting with 3 individuals on 3 different occasions it appears that reimbursement – possibly up to 75% of the repair cost - may be a possibility. Due to the involvement of FEMA, and other agencies as well, the repair process has been delayed.

Mr. Ussery suggested the State Archeologist also needs to visit the site. In older cemeteries graves may have been placed in areas without markers; there is a concern that excavation and borings might disturb unmarked graves. Mr. Ussery indicated he met with an individual regarding this issue last week. They will schedule the borings at a time when the State Archeologist can be present.

The DEEP is also involved; it has been determined that the area of repair lies within the area of the State Natural Diversity Map because of the proximity of the area to the Connecticut River and its tributaries. If the area is found to be near eagle nesting areas repair work would be delayed until after August 1st when the nesting season ends. Mr. Ussery noted the area of repair is within 100' of I-91 so he felt any noise associated with the repairs would be less than traffic noise but they will follow the State's recommendation.

Chairman Savaria questioned the status of the condition of the slope? Mr. Ussery indicated nothing had changed, but the slope has stabilized.

Commissioner Osborn suggested he realizes he isn't supposed to say anything with regard to this issue (Commission Osborn is a Director of the Cemetery Association), but he felt there had been some changes to the site. Wetlands Agent Newton reported David Askew (of the Hartford County Soil and Conservation District) had visited the site and had requested that 5 trees be removed. Commissioner Osborn also noted the Town drove on the cemetery dirt; he suggested when the Cemetery Association digs graves they put boards down. And, he felt Cemetery Association President Burnham should have been notified that the work was going to be done.

Wetlands Agent Newton noted she had not been advised of the initial slope failure, nor the proposal for repair; communication with regard to this issue has been unusual. She reported the Town crew responded quickly to assist with these repairs; they went out and marked the trees and took them down the next day. Town Engineer Norton wasn't aware the trees were removed that quickly either. There is an existing Cease and Desist Order issued for the East Windsor Cemetery Association and the Town of East Windsor is involved as well; First Selectman Menard is advised of actions occurring through the Planning Department. Wetlands Agent Newton noted she is the Town's contact with regard to these repairs.

Commissioner Osborn questioned if graves could be dug? Wetlands Agent Newton suggested denial of burials isn't reasonable, however if the Cemetery Association wants her to be that strict she can do that. Wetlands Agent Newton reported she and Town Engineer Norton will be going out to all Town properties which contain terrace escarpment slopes, and will then set a policy for whomever manages the site – whether it's a Town site or a school site. Wetlands Agent Newton noted the Planning Office had recently prepared a brochure regarding the fragility of terrace escarpment slopes

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY
Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012**

6

which was sent to over 300 residents as a community outreach effort. At least 2 calls were received from homeowners seeking assistance with slope failures. An informational display summarizing wetlands concerns also recently populated the display case outside the Planning Office. Everyone is being treated fairly, whether its homeowners or Town properties.

Mr. Ussery also noted that during the process of doing the survey of the failed slopes it was determined that a portion of the area is owned by CTDOT as there used to be an exit ramp from I-91 adjacent to Springdale Cemetery. Mr. Ussery will be contacting District 1 (CTDOT) for their input as well.

Wetlands Agent Newton questioned if another property was also involved? Mr. Ussery indicated that there is a manufactured mobile home on property owned by the mobile home park that does swing around towards the cemetery but that property doesn't involve the slope.

Chairman Savaria thanked the Planning Staff for the outreach efforts and the work in the display case.

OLD BUSINESS/2. Emilio and Adeline Parente – 284 South Main Street, East Windsor, CT. – Cease and Desist Order for Conducting a Regulated Activity without a Permit:

Wetlands Agent Newton reported Mr. Ussery is also assisting this property owner with their slope failure; she suggested he update the Commission on this repair.

Mr. Ussery indicated he was contacted by Mr. Parente regarding his slope failure, and he has already met with him. This is a property that was wooded years ago. When Mr. Parente built his business across the street he moved a home from across the street to this location. Mr. Ussery indicated he will be meeting with Mr. Parente's son-in-law to discuss repairs, which will be difficult. Mr. Parente won't have the assistance of FEMA funding; this repair may be cost prohibitive for a private property owner.

OLD BUSINESS/3. IWWA #02-2012 – East Windsor WPCA – Municipal Sewer Extension – North Road from Mullen Road to Melrose Road, Rolocut Road & Wells Road, North Road to Skylark Airport. (65 Application Period Ends April 18, 2012):

Chairman Savaria read the description of this Item of Business. He noted he lives on Rolocut Road and would be impacted by this Application; he then turned the Chair of the Meeting over to Vice Chairman Osborn. Chairman Savaria suggested anyone else on the Commission who might be directly impacted by this expansion should step down as well. He suggested this proposal goes to Commissioner Koczera's property on Wells Road.

LET THE RECORD SHOW Chairman Savaria and Commissioner Koczera stepped down from service as they reside within the area which is subject to discussion/approval; Alternate Member Roloff joined the remaining Regular Members for discussion of this Application.

Mr. Ussery, representing the Water Pollution Control Authority, stepped forward to discuss this

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY
Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012**

Application, which encompasses Phases 2 and 3 of the North Road sewer expansion.

Mr. Ussery spread several sheets of plans over the table for the Commission's review, and proceeded with the following narrative:

North Road:

- The first extension of the sewer ended at the traffic signal at Mullen Road.
- The next expansion will be coming along the shoulder on the east side of North Road. There is an area of wetlands which comes nearly to the road. The sewer will be running adjacent to that wetlands and will be impacting its edge with approximately 400 square feet of disturbance.
- The sewer will then continue down the edge of North Road to the driveway at Duplicating Methods. The sewer will pass under the driveway and continue on past the driveway. Approximately 234 square feet of disturbance will occur within this area.
- The sewer expansion will continue up to the body shop at the corner of Wells Road. Approximately 118 square feet of temporary disturbance will occur at this point.
- There is a wetlands associated with the cross culvert which carries water from the south side to the opposite side of North Road to the Bass/North Road Trust property. Further to the east is a lateral which will come across and service the Bass property. There is a wetlands at the shoulder; approximately 150 square feet of disturbance will occur opposite Wells Road.
- Continuing to the east along North Road they will be extending the pipe under Wells Road. Brian Russo's property is at the corner of Wells Road; the sewer will then continue to the Jehovah Witnesses' Kingdom Hall. The headwall will be taken out and moved out further, and the pipe will be extended. Approximately 400 square feet of disturbance in an intermittent watercourse will occur in this area.
- Continuing along North Road east approximately 78 square feet of disturbance will occur in the shoulder before the Jehovah Witnesses' property.
- Continuing east on North Road to a location where the road forks off onto Melrose Road at the Stremper farm property there is a driveway and a couple of headwalls, a pond, and a drainage ditch. Approximately 100 square feet of disturbance will occur to provide a lateral to the Stremper property.

Rolocut Road:

- The sewer will extend about mid-way along Rolocut Road, running along the shoulder. Approximately 600 square feet of disturbance will occur
- See additional comments below regarding ponding along Rolocut Road.

Mr. Ussery suggested total disturbance will be approximately 2,080 square feet. Mr. Ussery felt the area will return to its natural condition quickly.

Vice Chairman Osborn questioned the distance of the right-of-way? Mr. Ussery indicated the right-of-way extends for approximately 66' along North Road and approximately 50' along Rolocut Road. Vice Chairman Osborn referenced Town Engineer Norton's comment #3 regarding existing ponding along Rolocut Road. Mr. Ussery referenced an area reflected between two red lines on the map, noting that area ponds water on both sides of Rolocut Road during most of the year. He reported the

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY
Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012**

8

Town was involved in a lawsuit with a neighbor who thought the Town had done something to cause the ponding; the neighbor slipped on ice, sued the Town, and subsequently received money. Mr. Ussery noted there is no place for the water to go; the area is subject to a high water table. He indicated there is not money within the WPCA budget to fund a resolution but it does make sense to remedy the situation while the road will be torn up. Mr. Ussery suggested perhaps a recommendation can be made to the Board of Selectmen through Town Engineer Norton and Wetlands Agent Newton regarding this issue.

Vice Chairman Osborn queried the Commission for comments; no one raised any questions.

Wetlands Agent Newton noted that (Soil Scientist) Mike Gragnolati did the mapping for this project, but didn't get an opportunity to complete the Assessment Report. David Askew (of the Hartford County Soil and Conservation District) prepared the report for the Town. Although she could have prepared the Assessment Report herself Wetlands Agent Newton suggested it made sense for an independent party to make the assessment. The assessment identifies the wetlands as being of low quality roadside wetlands, with no vernal pools associated with the areas of disturbance. Wetlands Agent Newton indicated it was good of Mr. Askew to complete the report for the Town.

Vice Chairman Osborn called for a motion on this Application.

MOTION: To APPROVE IWWA #02-2012 – East Windsor WPCA – Municipal Sewer Extension – North Road from Mullen Road to Melrose Road, Rolocut Road & Wells Road, North Road to Skylark Airport.

DISCUSSION: None.

Slate moved/Roloff seconded/

**VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Roloff)
(No one opposed/no abstentions)**

LET THE RECORD SHOW Chairman Savaria and Commissioner Koczera returned to service on the Board.

MISCELLANEOUS/1. Violation Citation & Procedures, Ordinance #2012/Wetland Violation Enforcement and Citation Policy:

Chairman Savaria read the description of this Item of Business. He noted the Commission has been reviewing this material for several meetings.

Wetlands Agent Newton noted the proposal is the same ordinance the Commission tried to implement during a previous Town Meeting; there are no changes from that proposal. She recommended the Commission refer this recommendation to the Board of Selectmen after the completion of the budget season.

Chairman Savaria raised the following questions:

- **Procedures stated in the first paragraph on page 2 regarding unresolved violations “shall be considered a separate offense.”:** He suggested the “shall” should be “may”. Wetlands Agent Newton noted this is the language of the Wetlands Statute.
- **Section D – Service of Notice; citation”:** He questioned if a copy of the Violation Procedures should be included in the violation notification? He felt the public wouldn’t have knowledge of the procedures. Wetlands Agent Newton noted the violation packet can be lengthy as it is. The packet includes a cover letter informing the violator (in bold type) of the regulation number in question and advises the violator of options for resolution. The packet also includes copies of previous citations, Cease and Desist Orders, etc. She noted she does a lot of violation letters with regard to Zoning issues. Of that number only 2 people have had to pay a fine because of lack of compliance/resolution. The purpose of the violation process is to seek compliance. She also noted that when people call regarding the letters she explains the process in detail, and the options for resolution. The ordinance would also be available online on the Town’s website

Discussion followed regarding procedures for implementation of this ordinance and the fee schedule. Wetlands Agent Newton noted that a recommendation for approval of an ordinance is forwarded to the Board of Selectmen. It is the Board of Selectmen who actually adopt an ordinance. The fee schedule is a policy for approval by the Commission, and is not referred to the Board of Selectmen. The fees are not included in the ordinance because the ordinance would have to be revised each time a change was made to the fee schedule.

Commissioner Slate raised the following questions:

- **Reference during discussion that referral of the ordinance to the Board of Selectmen should be delayed:** Commissioner Slate would like the timing of the referral to be more specific. Wetlands Agent Newton reiterated her suggestion for referral after cessation of the budget season, which usually occurs with referendums in May or June. Commissioner Slate would like to see the referral occur in June; Wetlands Agent Newton concurred.
- **Appeal vs. fine reduction:** Commissioner Slate suggested he has seen fines reduced tremendously. He would like to see a provision that fines can not be reduced by more than half the original penalty when violations are appealed. Wetlands Agent Newton noted the amount of the fine imposed is at the discretion of the Hearing Officer. She suggested the citation ordinance isn’t looked at as a way to punish people; it’s a way to get their agent to engage them in complying with the regulations. The fines are reduced if the violator complies with the conditions set by the Hearing Officer. If compliance is not accomplished, then the fines return back to the original amount and that amount becomes the amount sought in the court case. The procedure is a way to bring the violator to the table to work out a resolution to gain compliance.

Wetlands Agent Newton queried the Commission how they felt regarding the Citation Ordinance? Chairman Savaria suggested the Commission is not trying to punish anyone, but they are trying to be reasonable. Commissioner Slate indicated he was comfortable with the ordinance as proposed; the remaining Commissioners appeared to be in agreement.

MOTION: To FORWARD TO THE BOARD OF SELECTMEN for approval Violation

Citation & Procedures, Ordinance #2012- /Wetland Violation Enforcement and Citation Policy.

DISCUSSION: Commissioner Slate requested a specific referral date be added to the discussion. Wetlands Agent Newton recommended referral after completion of the Board of Selectmen's Budget season in June.

Osborn moved/Slate seconded/

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Savaria/Slate)
(No one opposed/no abstentions)

MISCELLANEOUS/2. Wetlands Fee Schedule:

Wetlands Agent Newton suggested the Commission must decide what, if any, revisions they prefer to the Fee Schedule. The current options are:

- Keep the fee schedule as it currently is implemented.
- Use the first 75' of uplands review area for the fee calculation.
- Use the 3-tiered option which bases fee calculations on specified amounts of disturbance. (Wetlands Agent Newton acknowledged the third option is more complicated.)

Wetlands Agent Newton noted the revised schedule brings the fees down to a more reasonable level on the larger applications, which have been impacted significantly in the past. Larger areas of upland review bear the impact of the fees; the smaller applications won't be affected by the revisions.

Chairman Savaria indicated he liked the fees based on the 75' upland review area; the remainder of the Commission concurred.

MOTION: To FORWARD TO THE BOARD OF SELECTMEN for approval the proposed Wetlands Fee Schedule.

DISCUSSION: None.

Slate moved/Malin seconded/

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Koczera/Malin/Osborn/Savaria/Slate)
(No one opposed/no abstentions)

AGENT DECISIONS: None.

STATUS REPORTS:

Status reports were presented during regular Agenda business.

CONFERENCES/SEMNARS/TRAINING:

Wetlands Agent Newton reported Segment One of the Inland Wetlands Commissioner Training is now available online only. The course is accessed via the DEEP website, which then directs you to a UCONN Continuing Education page. There is a fee associated with the course; payment is made via credit card. Segment 2 is usually available in May; Segment 3 is usually available in the Fall. A Commissioner must take all 3 segments to get an annual certificate. Chairman Savaria suggested it would be good for all Commissioners to take the courses.

CORRESPONDENCE:

Chairman Savaria referenced receipt of “The Habitat – Winter 2011”, noting there is a good article based on denial of applications. Wetlands Agent Newton noted the Commission’s responsibility is to guide an applicant towards compliance. She then reviewed the process for denying an application. Wetlands Agent Newton suggested she felt if applicants were using licensed engineers and/or experts they will take into consideration the regulation requirements when submitting applications.

GENERAL BOARD DISCUSSION:

- **Commissioner Koczera – clearing of storm debris:** He noted that many trees came down in the wetlands during the recent storms; he cited concern for fires. He questioned if he removed trees from the wetlands would he get a violation?

Wetlands Agent Newton noted she often gets calls from people concerned about clearing in wetlands and Conservation Easements. If they have questions she is willing to walk the site with them, and give them advice; she has done that numerous times. She suggested no one will get a violation letter for taking down tree damage.

- **Commissioner Osborn – no need for permit for logging:** He suggested if someone is logging for firewood they don’t need a permit. Wetlands Agent Newton suggested someone can do selective clearing. The pond on Scantic Road is a good example, as it’s an active vernal pool. She advised the person who contacted her to wait until the critters are done for the season before initiating any clean up.
- **Commissioner Koczera – ponding along Rolocut Road:** He returned discussion to the areas along Rolocut Road which have significant ponding. Wetlands Agent Newton suggested the soils are sandy material over clay; the water will stop at the clay. If a contractor knows what he is doing there should be no problems. The North Central Health District doesn’t see problems with regard to the shallow wells in the area as a result of the sewer expansion.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (Discussion on non-Agenda items only):

No one from the public requested to speak.

ADJOURNMENT:

**TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR
INLAND WETLANDS WATERCOURSE AGENCY
Regular Meeting – March 7, 2012**

MOTION: To ADJOURN this Meeting at 8:24 p.m.

Slate moved/Malin seconded/VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous

Respectfully submitted: _____
Peg Hoffman, Recording Secretary, Inland Wetlands and Watercourse Commission
(4468)