TOWN OF EAST WINDSOR BOARD OF SELECTMEN

AMENDED REGULAR MEETING

(Page 20, regarding Attachment A)

Thursday, June 21, 2018 7:00 p.m.

Town Hall Meeting Room 11 Rye Street, Broad Brook, CT. 06016

Meeting Minutes

*** These Minutes are not official until approved at a subsequent meeting ***

Board of Selectmen:

Robert Maynard, First Selectman Steve Dearborn., Deputy First Selectman Jason Bowsza, Selectman Andy Hoffman, Selectman Charles J. Szymanski, Selectman

ATTENDANCE:

Board of Selectmen: Robert Maynard, First Selectman; Steve Dearborn, Deputy First Selectman; Selectmen: Jason Bowsza; Andy Hoffman; Charles J. Szymanski.

GUESTS:

<u>Town Staff</u>: <u>Department of Public Works:</u> Len Norton, Director/Town Engineer; Joe Sauerhoefer, Operations Manager;

Members of Boards, Committees, Commissions, or Town Entities:

250th Anniversary Committee: Rebecca Talamini, Chairman; Board of Education: Cathy Simonelli, Chairman; Kathy Bilodeau; Board of Finance: Jerilyn Corson, Chairman, Kathy Pippin, Sarah Muska; Bill Syme; Broad Brook Mill Committee: Keith Yagaloff, Co-Chairman, Len Norton, Tom Talamini; Selectman Hoffman; Charter Revision Commission: John Matthews; Betsy Burns; Rachel Safford; Economic Development Commission: Bob Lyke; Inland Wetlands Commission: Richard P. Pippin, Jr.; Pension & Retirement Commission: David King; Police Commission: Bob Leach, Chairman; Registrar of Voters: Angelo Sevarino, Democratic Registrar; Linda Sinisgallo, Republican Registrar; Warehouse Point Fire Department: Jim Barton; Chief; Warehouse Point Fire Marshal: Rich Austin; Warehouse Point Auxiliary: Debra Nordell, President;

<u>Public:</u> Paul Anderson; Marie DeSousa; Bob Leach, Betsy Leborious; Rich Leborious; Bill Loos; Dale Nelson; Tom Talamini; Bill Towers; Keith Yagaloff.

Press:

No one from the Press was present.

TIME AND PLACE OF REGULAR MEETING:

First Seletman Maynard called the Meeting to Order at 7:00 p.m. in the Town Hall Meeting Room, 11 Rye Street, Broad Brook, CT.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Everyone present stood to recite the Pledge of Allegiance.

AGENDA APPROVAL:

First Selectman Maynard queried the Board for Agenda additions. Selectman Szymanski requested addition of discussion of one of the items being voted on tonight at the Town Meeting, the additional bathrooms and snack bar at Abbe Road.

Motion:

To AMEND the Agenda for the Board of Selectmen Meeting dated June 21, 2018 to add discussion of ADA bathroom Abbe Road Soccer Complex before Public Participation.

Maynard moved/Hoffman seconded/DISCUSSION: None

VOTE:

In Favor:

Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

ATTENDANCE:

See Page 1.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

Selectman Szymanski: He noted that one of the items being considered tonight is the new building at Abbe Road which will include a lavatory and snack bar at the soccer field. Selectman Szymanski agreed with the need for the lavatories at that site; he recalled that he asked about portable toilets and was told there were no ADA portapotties. He later researched the information and found United Site Services who does have ADA portable toilets and they do them for school facilities. The quote given Selectman Szymanski was \$80.10/month per ADA portable toilet, no installation charges, United Site Services maintains and cleans them weekly, and provides the supplies needed. Selectman Szymanski suggested that this representative suggested that one unit would do about 50 people; Selectman Szymanski indicated he felt we would need 3 portable toilets. The price is the State of Connecticut negotiated price which he used to get to the \$80; the representative indicated that would be the price they would give to the Town. Selectman Szymanski felt the portable toilets would be used on a limited basis – perhaps

8 months/year for 3 to 4 hours on a daily basis. Selectman Szymanski suggested that having the investment of over \$200,000 of putting a building there seems unnecessary; with this we don't have to maintain the building or provide electricity or a sewer line; someone would have to clean that building. Selectman Szymanski noted that if you take the quote at 8 months it comes to \$1,920 and if you take the \$200,000 we're going to spend, for this we could rent these units for 104 years.

Selectman Szymanski reported he spoke with Mr. Pippin, who also provides portable toilet rentals, he can provide these ADA units as well. Selectman Szymanski confirmed the ADA compliant units are available.

Selectman Szymanski reported he also spoke with Nancy Masters, who is a Trustee for Scout Hall, she indicated she's open to use of the Scout Hall restrooms while the games are going on but they would ask that a separate outside door be built where the restrooms are so they could restrict access to the rest of the building. Even that would be a much lower cost than building a building for the limited time this would be used. Selectman Szymanski suggested alternative options for the bathroom facilities are a huge savings of money which could be used for something else.

First Selectman Maynard opened discussion to the audience.

Paul Anderson: Mr. Anderson wanted to point out that with the restroom facilities connected to the sewer there is a minimum annual bill of \$380 for usage and there's a fee for connecting to the sewer system so that needs to be considered. We're looking at one time cost, this creates a reoccurring cost and has to be kept in mind.

Richard P. Pippin, 37 Woolam Road: Mr. Pippin indicated Selectman Szymanski is completely right, but he felt the price quoted is a cheater price. Most of the restrooms out there aren't ADA compliant; you should be able to get more than 50 uses out of a proper one. Selectman Hoffman questioned if Mr. Pippin's company could provide them? Mr. Pippin indicated they have 7 of the units; one is being delivered to Wethersfield tomorrow for the graduation. It's almost 7 feet square.

Regarding the appointments to the Retirement Board Mr. Pippin questioned the need to change the team mid-term in the negotiations. To bring in a new person probably wouldn't be a good thing to do; do it after negotiations are completed. Mr. Pippin cited he sat on that Board for a brief period and found Mr. Bowsza knowledgeable.

<u>Sarah Muska, 25 Maple Avenue:</u> Referencing Selectman Szymanski's comments Ms. Muska indicated she just reviewed the minutes and didn't find (cellphone ringing over Ms. Muska's comments). Ms. Muska cited the portapotties are temporary, this a permanent building that will bring in revenue with a concession stand. Ms. Muska indicated she supports it, the Board of Finance voted unanimously for it, she hopes at the meeting tonight you all vote for it as well.

David King: Mr. King reported he's been on the Pension Board for 6 years. Regarding reappointing Ed (Bowsza), Mr. King indicated he didn't know Josh (Kapelner) but he would welcome him; he felt Ed would resign once the negotiations are over. Mr. King felt that would be the time to bring on someone new. Mr. King reported they've lost a lot of people; this is something that's contingent on keeping the team together. They've put a lot of time into this; he's taken personal time from work; Ed has been there as well. Mr. King understands having new blood/opinions, he felt that time will come. Mr. King felt for the time being we should push through and conduct their business as efficiently and inexpensively as possible because we have an attorney present when we do this; re-education will cost the Town money.

<u>Jerilyn Corso, Chairman of the Board of Finance</u>: She doesn't remember either Melissa (Maltese) or Joe (Saurehoefer) telling them they couldn't get ADA bathrooms, we did discuss why we didn't want portapotties again there and we discussed for hours on end these projects. Mrs. Corso suggested she remembered correctly the Board of Selectmen and the Board of Finance voted unanimously to put all of these forward so she isn't sure why we are questioning

And, secondly, regarding the appointment to the Pension Board, she did used to be on that and had to step down. Mr. Bowsza is a vital piece of that Board and she felt it would be very important to reappoint him again.

<u>Kathy Pippin, 37 Woolam Road</u>: She isn't going to talk about the portable toilets, that's her business and her livelihood and it's her bread and butter and they're homeowners....... But she would definitely say Ed Bowza is a super, fantastic guy. She's seen stuff taken off in midstream and we definitely pay for it. Mrs. Pippin felt Mr. Bowsza should stay on.

Cathy Simonelli, 71 Depot Street: She has in front of her the draft minutes which were part of your packet tonight that cover the joint meeting and what she's reading is that Mrs. Maltese said she gets requests to rent the soccer field but people won't rent it when they hear about the portable toilets. So there was some discussion about them; she also doesn't recall anyone saying that ADA ones were not available but that they were not desirable. Selectman Szymanski requested Mr. Sauerhoefer to comment about the lack of availability of the ADA compliant portable toilets, as he thought Mr. Sauerhoefer had made the comment. Mr. Sauerhoefer suggested he would swear on the Bible that he didn't make that comment. Selectman Szymanski suggested that comment was the reason he voted for the building.

Bob Lyke, Rye Street: Mr. Lyke would like to make some comments on some wonderful things going on in Town. He reported he's the newest member of the EDC (Economic Development Commission), he cited the energy and enthusiasm of that Commission – they're working with a professional consultant to build a website and inventorying developable spots in Town. He personally is energetic and enthusiastic because of things that are going on in town – like the 250th Anniversary events. He cited the opening of the time capsule, and the dedication of the Veterans Memorial Park which will be an ongoing project. Mr. Lyke encouraged people to view the YouTube video of the finalization of the hearing on the MMCT casino by the Planning and Zoning for the Special Use Permit. Mr. Lyke indicated he saw dialogue and

communication between those two groups that was professional and will lead to some of the other things going on in Town – a much better image for the Town.

Mr. Lyke indicated he was asked by the EDC to attend the Broad Brook Mill Remediation Committee; he felt his marching orders from that Committee were to put a fire under UTC. Mr. Lyke reported he saw such a wonderful group of people exchanging information that he said to himself that he needed to go back to the EDC and the Board of Selectmen to tell you we need to find a consortium to work together in a proactive way to solve that problem.

Marie DeSousa, 10 Rice Road: Mrs. DeSousa wanted to thank First Selectman Maynard if he was behind taking down the letter in Rand's office; she felt it was inappropriate for public display. There is also another sign in Mr. Stanley's office which talks about courtesy. Mrs. DeSousa is finding a lack of professionalism from the Board of Selectmen; you don't have to agree with someone and you don't have to like them but you should have respect for them when they are speaking. She would like to see the negative stuff that's out there stop – on Facebook and the newspaper – it's not a good light for East Windsor if we want to move forward.

Hearing no further request for comments First Selectman Maynard moved to the next Agenda item.

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES/Regular Meeting Minutes of June 7, 2018:

MOTION:

To APPROVE the Minutes of the June 7, 2018 Board of Selectmen Meeting

with the following amendments:

Page 2, BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS RESIGNATIONS AND

APPOINTMENTS/B Reappointments: .Albert Grant (U), Conservation Commission, regular member for a term expiring 4/1/2002: 4/1/2022, and associated motion, MOTION: To APPROVE the reappointment of Albert Grant (U), Conservation Commission, regular member for a term expiring

<u>4/1/2002</u>: <u>4/1/2022</u>.

Page 4: MOTION: To RECESS the Board of Selectmen Meeting at 7:30. p.m. to CONVENE the Town Meeting.

Maynard moved/Dearborn seconded/DISCUSSION: None.

VOTE:

In Favor:

Maynard/Dearborn/Szymanski/BOWSZA

Opposed:

No one

Abstained: No one

Selectman Bowsza not present for vote.

Maynard moved/Dearborn seconded/DISCUSSION: None.

VOTE:

In Favor:

Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Szymanski

Opposed:

No one

Abstained:

Hoffman

<u>APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES/Joint Special meeting Meeting Minutes of June 11, 2018:</u>

First Selectman Maynard requested that the amendments requested by Treasurer Amy O'Toole be incorporated in the approval motion.

MOTION: To APPROVE the Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Board of Selectmen and Board of Finance dated June 11, 2018, with the following amendments:

pages 2: GUESTS: <u>Town Staff</u>: <u>Treasurer:</u> Amy <u>O;Toole O'Toole</u>

Members of Boards, Committees, Commissions, or Town

Entities:: Broad Brook Fire Department: Tom Arcari, Chier

Chief;

page 4: NEW BUSINESS: Discuss and Approve Supplemental

Appropriations (move to Town Meeting): SUPPLEMENTAL
APPROPRIATION PROJECT LIST: Discussion, Paragraph
6: Treasurer O'Toole felt capital improvements quality qualify;
she questioned site parking lots, noting there's a difference

between nice to have and necessary.

Page 4: Town Wide Office Renovation/Town Wide Security \$81,000:

Treasurer O'Toole suggested the Town Wide Security might

quality_qualify

Page 9: Town Wide Security - \$???????? \$20,000 to \$23,000

Page 11: MOTION: To APPROVE \$150,000 for the Middle School

Oil Tank Removal and replacement and send to the Board of

Selectmen to send to Town Meeting.

Syme moved/Muska seconded/DISCUSSION: None.

VOTE: In Favor: Christensen/Herms/Muska/Pippin/Syme

(Corso not voting

Page 12: Discussion of the Youth Services Bureau, Paragraph 4 beginning

"First Selectman Maynard reiterated....... For the \$28,000 in funding the school system would hire a certified person would be hired to plan and coordinate the Youth Services in Town; that staff member would be paid \$20+ per hour for a total salary of

approximately \$20,000 annually.

Page 12: Paragraph 5: Treasurer O'Toole suggested inquired if the

\$14,000 matching appropriation would be for the fiscal year 2017

-2018 but the Town would have a year to spend it; Mrs.

Maltese answered in the affirmative.

Maynard moved/Dearborn seconded/DISCUSSION: None.

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

COMMUNICATIONS: None.

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS RESIGNATIONS AND APPOINTMENTS/A.

Resignations: None.

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS RESIGNATIONS AND APPOINTMENTS/B

Reappointments: .Edward Bowsza, (R) Pension and Retirement Board, regular member for a term expiring 6/1/2022:

First Selectman Maynard noted there is only one position open on the Pension and Retirement Board; both Mr. Bowsza and Mr. Kepelner have submitted applications to fill the one position.

<u>Reappointment:</u> Edward Bowsza, (R), Pension and Retirement Board, regular member for a term expiring 6/1/2022:

MOTION: To APPROVE the reappointment of Edward Bowsza (R) to the Pension and Retirement Board, as a regular member for a term expiring 6/1/2022.

Maynard moved/Hoffman seconded

<u>DISCUSSION:</u> Deputy First Selectman Dearborn noted he originally voted not to support Ed but he has been on this, this thing is going on, I don't think he should be removed. First Selectman Maynard indicated he felt the same way.

VOTE:

In Favor:

Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS RESIGNATIONS AND APPOINTMENTS/C. New

<u>Appointments:</u> 1. Josh Kapelner, (U) Pension and Retirement Board, for a term expiring 6/1/2022:

No action on this Agenda item; the position on the Pension and Retirement Board was filled by the reappointment of Ed Bowsza above.

BOARD AND COMMISSIONS RESIGNATIONS AND APPOINTMENTS/C. New

appointments/2. Jim Richards, (D) Economic Development Commission, alternate member for a term expiring 1/1/2019:

MOTION: To APPOINT Jim Richards, (D) Economic Development Commission, alternate member for a term expiring 1/1/2019:

Szymanski moved/Bowsza seconded/

<u>DISCUSSION</u>: Selectman Hoffman questioned if Eric Moffett had been consulted on this appointment; he would like Mr. Moffett to tell us he's comfortable with Mr. Richard's appointment. First Selectman Maynard replied he had not spoken to Mr. Moffett but he noted Mr. Moffett is listed as a reference for Mr. Richards as a person who recommended him. Selectman Hoffman indicated he would like to have Mr. Moffett tell us if Jim is a person he would like to have on the EDC before we vote for him. Mr. Richards suggested if you want to

speak to Eric feel free to, Eric is one of his biggest supporters, he invited me to attend the EDC meeting as the Executive Director of the Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Richards indicated he's held that position and served on the EDC for over 11 years and the only time he resigned was when he became a Board of Finance member. It functioned well for the few years he was there. Mr. Richards addressed Selectman Hoffman - you and I have a past history on EDC, we don't see eye to eye on matters, and if you wish to talk to Eric that's fine but Mr. Richards would encourage the rest of this Board members that know him, know what I do, we're talking about positive things in town, that's what he's all about. Mr. Hoffman and I do have a disagreement at EDC. I believe a discussion of this brownfield that's occurring in the center of Broad Brook is hurting business. I have been honest with the EDC about it and Mr. Hoffman was discussing the other night that you want to bring Channel 30 down there and I said - no, no, please don't. There have been things on Facebook, we're all familiar with media and what it can do. One of the restaurants was asked if it's safe to eat there – of course it is. I also truly believe with that project down there that is not economic development, that's a problem we can leave for a private developer. Let UTC sell to them and let that private developer..... I believe that Mr. Cotter, before he died, had a great project planned down there. So, if you would like to check with "Mr. Hoffman" he is a reference; I'm sure Eric will give you a thumbs up. My other reference was Jason Bowsza, who has attended many meetings of mine; this Board of Selectmen didn't even come to the business of the year. I'm all about economic development. Selectman Hoffman noted that during the period of time he served on the EDC he found that Jim was very disruptive; I found that the meetings were very contentions, largely because of him. And I think he would not be a great addition to that Commission.

Hearing no further requests for comments First Selectman Maynard called for a vote.

VOTE: In Favor: Bowsza

Opposed: Maynard/Szymanski/Hoffman

First Selectman Maynard looked to Deputy First Selectman Dearborn for his vote. Deputy First Selectman Dearborn indicated he wasn't sure. Mr. Richards requested to add comments. Mr. Richards suggested to Selectman Hoffman that in regards to that disruption, he got in trouble in his Selectmen's meeting when the rest of the Selectman tried not to say your name, we had to leave, resign from our Board, because of your attitude and the way you talk about other people. Mr. Richards suggested that Selectman Hoffman was probably the biggest disruption they ever had at EDC. So, if you want to be personal about it, which is where you've taken this, he'll be glad to, you have been a problem, you went off the reservation and did interviews. First Selectman Maynard ceased discussion, which was becoming heated.

First Selectman Maynard queried Deputy First Selectman Dearborn if he wanted to postpone the vote; Deputy First Selectman Dearborn replied negatively. He felt Mr. Richards had the town at heart, it seems like he has done a lot, he's always been involved in everything, he would vote in favor of Mr. Richard's appointment.

FINAL VOTE:

In Favor:

Bowsza/Dearborn

Opposed:

Maynard/Szymanski/Hoffman

MOTION:

To RECESS the Board of Selectmen's Meeting at 7:30 p.m. to open the Town

Meeting.

Bowsza moved/Szymanski seconded/DISCUSSION: None.

VOTE:

In Favor:

Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS/A. East Windsor's 250th Anniversary Committee Report:

Rebecca Talamini, Chairman of the 250th Anniversary Committee, joined the Board. Ms. Talamini reported the following:

• July 14th - Touch A Truck Event from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. at the Town Hall Annex/Police Station. Ms. Talamini noted that the Touch A Truck Event is being sponsored by the (Warehouse Point Fire District) Auxiliary. Debbie Nordell, Chairman of the Warehouse Point Fire District Auxiliary reported this is a free event, Ben & Jerry's will be there from 10:00 a.m.- to whenever giving away 200 free scoops of ice cream, and Linda's Pit Stop will be a food truck available for breakfast and lunch. Ms. Nordell reported they have the military coming, the Broad Brook Fire Department, the East Windsor Fire Department, the Police, the East Windsor Ambulance, Public Works – about 20 trucks will be available. Ms. Nordell noted it will be a fun place for little kids and big kids.

Continuing with her report Ms. Talamini noted:

- July 15th Free Swim and Ice Cream Fund Day at the Reservoir from 12:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.
- **Souvenir coins** are available for sale for \$10 each at the Town Hall, Broad Brook Library, and the Warehouse Point Library.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS/B. Casino:

First Selectman Maynard reported that on Tuesday evening the PZC (Planning and Zoning Commission) approved the General Development Plan for MMCT Venture; this coming Wednesday they will be reviewing the Site Plan at a Special Meeting at 6:30 p.m. at the Town Hall. First Selectman Maynard suggested we're making progress.

NEW BUSINESS/A. Approval of United Bank Deposit Account Resolution:

First Selectman Maynard referenced the Deposit Account Resolution with United Bank, he noted that the Treasurer is not presently authorized to deposit or withdraw money; the only person who can presently is the Assistant Treasurer Kim Prostka-Scavotto. First Selectman Maynard read an excerpt from the Resolution (*See Attachment – Deposit Account Resolution with United Bank*) – "I hereby certify to United Bank that, at a meeting of the Board of Selectmen of the town of

East Windsor, a municipality incorporated or otherwise organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut, duly called and held on the 21st day of June, 2018 the following resolutions (Deposit Account Resolutions) were duly adopted......" authorizing the Treasurer and Assistant Treasurer to sign this document with United Bank.

MOTION: To AUTHORIZE the Treasurer and the Assistant Treasurer to sign the

United Bank Resolution for the Town of East Windsor.

Maynard moved/Dearborn seconded/DISCUSSION: None.

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

NEW BUSINESS/B. Discussion of Broad Brook Mill:

Keith Yagaloff stepped forward. He noted Jessica Bottomley is the Chairwoman of the Broad Brook Mill Remediation Committee but she couldn't attend this evening due to another obligation; he is present to update everyone on the Committee's progress. Mr. Yagaloff noted other members of the Committee present tonight are Tom Talamini, Len Norton, Selectman Hoffman; Rand Stanley and Kirk Montstream are also members. He noted that the Committee has representatives that are involved with historic buildings, a builder, public works, an engineer – a good broad experience in terms of the issues they're covering.

Mr. Yagaloff reported the Committee has been meeting for quite a while reviewing the updated reports and recommendations for the proposed remediation and reviewing data - hundreds and hundreds of data sets for samples that were pulled from the ground and the groundwater and they've formulated questions and educated themselves. Most importantly, they have also been meeting with representatives from the various entities involved in the remediation project, including UTAS, the property owner, AECOM, the project engineers that have been doing the testing, and DEEP (Department of Energy and Environmental Protection). Mr. Yagaloff reported they've had four hours of face to face discussions with those representatives over the last three meetings. They have narrowed the project status to two items

Mr. Yagaloff cited concern hearing tonight that we shouldn't be talking to people about the remediation plans because it will scare people. Mr. Yagaloff suggested it worried him a bit because people need to understand, that mill was the center of the economic vitality of this area since the early 1800s, most of the complex burned down in the 1980s. They left some of the buildings which were converted into condos, and some were left empty, and then they discovered that the ground was contaminated and they put a fence around it, which has been there for 18 to 20 years. Mr. Yagaloff indicated that the Committee knows, from looking at the reports, that there are two types of carcinogens on that property. There are VOCs - Volatile Organic Compounds – that eventually got into the groundwater. That site sits on top of an aquifer, it's the major aquifer that serves this whole town and other communities. The VOCs are in the aquifer, and the soils, and they're carcinogens. And, there are also heavy metals on that property – chromium and arsenic – and they're carcinogens in the soil. If you want to go through that property and play in the soil you have a chance of getting cancer; there are carcinogens in the

soil, they're not covered, they've been sitting there for how long we don't know because when the condos were sitting there they were in the soil and now it's been fenced for 18 years. So, Mr. Yagaloff suggested, for people to say "let's not talk about it" or publicize it or worry about it is contrary to what the Committee is doing because we want to educate ourselves and educate the town.

Mr. Yagaloff indicated that one of the issues that they have identified is a serious problem. The property owner wants to come in and dig up these heavy metals, but first the volatile organic compounds - the cancer causing things - they are going to take most of that soil out to a protected landfill. They can't stop what's in the groundwater but if you take that out and if the rain hits it the soils they won't be there to push it into the groundwater so they will stop the groundwater plume from spreading/developing. Mr. Yagaloff noted the site is also covered in coal ash from burning the fuel to operate the Mill; they will cover that with soil which is a good thing because coal ash by itself isn't so dangerous; it's an approved fill material but if you come in contact with it can be pretty hazardous. So, they're going to cover that. The real serious problem is they are going to dig up the real heavy metal carcinogens; in essence be creating another landfill on the property. They're going to move it behind the church – they'll be taking 1300 cubic yards of soil - they're going to dig up the carcinogens - and move it 200 yards and dump it again and create what is essentially another landfill. And they will cover it with plastic, or some kind of impervious thing, they're going to do monitoring and maintenance, which means they're going monitor it to make sure it's covered with grass and make sure the wells for testing are being tested but they're going to create another landfill on that property.

Mr. Yagaloff continued. We brought in an expert, Andy brought in a person from UCONN who's a licensed engineer who has said there's about 1300 cubic yards of material and the cost is about \$150,000 to ship it off site. That's the gross cost. That's not minus the cost for them to build the engineered landfill, so if you take the cost to do the engineered landfill and take it off the \$150,000 it will be less. And, honestly, if it's \$300 - \$400,000 the State of Connecticut has invested \$3.9 million of the State's money – our money – for the State's contribution and we don't know what UTAS has but in.

Mr. Yagaloff indicated the Committee questioned what possible reason would UTAS have for creating this landfill of carcinogens on the site vs. taking it offsite/removing it? Mr. Yagaloff suggested the answer is money. And they said it was a minimal problem because it was only 5% of the property. Mr. Yagaloff suggested the Committee is notifying you, the Board of Selectmen, and will be educating the public to understand this issue.

Mr. Yagaloff reported that the historic main building is on the National Register; it's in good condition although it does have a hole in the roof for the past 18 years which hasn't been covered with plywood; the Committee has considered maybe the property owners is going for demolition by neglect. Mr. Yagaloff indicated that the building is still in good condition; we want to save that. We want that building to at least be put in a position – first of all the hazardous stuff was taken out of the building when the condos were constructed. It was sandblasted down to bare wood and brick. Mr. Yagaloff suggested all that material in that building could easily be taken

out and that building could be preserved for a developer. Mr. Yagaloff indicated the Committee feels if we can get the hazardous landfill NOT to go on that property and we save that historic building we have an opportunity as a town to do something with that project, and if we don't do those two things that property is going to get fenced in and it will sit in perpetuity because the don't think a developer would ever come in there and want to develop that project as it.

Mr. Yagaloff reported there is supposed to be a public hearing scheduled on this. They have been arguing with AECOM and UTAS and the Committee feels they may agree to sit down with them and postpone the public hearing and talk about coming to a middle ground. That's where we are right now. We're trying to treat each other with respect and trying to get a dialogue going. They have agreed to come to the table, and we hope to negotiate not having the hazardous material landfill on the site. Mr. Yagaloff indicated that then the Committee would work on the Section 106 hearing and work on saving that historic building. UTAS has criteria they need to bring to the public meeting to be able to tear the building down.

Mr. Yagaloff indicated that was the goal of the Committee – to work on preserving the historic building, get rid of the contaminated landfill they want to put on that property, and then it may be appropriate for a developer to start working on that project. Mr. Yagaloff indicated UTAS will clean it up to residential standards even though it will never be used for a residential use again. They have committed to the Committee that they will clean that up to residential standards. The primary issue remaining is the landfill.

Mr. Yagaloff suggested he didn't feel it's a bad thing to make people aware of the situation because then we can then have an intelligent conversation, finally, after 20 years of it sitting there, we, as a community, can have an intelligent conversation with the stakeholders to tell them what needs to be done to move this project forward. If we ignore this, if we don't confront it then it's going to turn into what they want it to be. If we're going to continue putting our heads in the sand and pretend it doesn't exist as a problem they are going to do what they want and that site will sit forever as an unused site, fenced off and no one is even going to want to go there.

Mr. Yagaloff emphasized the Committee is passionate about what they're doing for the town, they want everyone, not just the Board of Selectmen, but the townspeople, to support the Committee to try to achieve what they are trying to get to and if there is a public hearing the committee wants the public to come to the public hearing and tell UTAS and AECOM what's in the best interest of the Town.

Warehouse Point Fire Marshal Austin questioned how much of the surface area is coal ash, and how deep is it? Mr. Yagaloff indicated 7 acres of the total 12 acres has coal ash on it. Mr. Yagaloff indicated they don't really know the depth; it probably ranges from a few inches to a few feet. Mr. Austin questioned if UTAS will be scraping it up and removing it? Mr. Yagaloff noted the Committee has asked for estimates for the cost of removal. Mr. Austin noted it's always going to get stirred up no matter what you do there. Mr. Yagaloff indicated UTAS' plan is to put 18 inches to 2 feet of fill there. Mr. Yagaloff also noted the Committee has reached a

point where they have to focus on the things that are the most important. He concurred with Mr. Austin; for that part of the site to be made pristine and be best for a developer for future use or the Town could use it for a park or something — not for buildings because the Town already rejected that — a developer would love that, it could be restaurants, a gathering place, an economic driver..... But the Committee has come to the realization that they must focus on the safety issues at this point, and preserving the historic building.

Selectman Szymanski indicated he felt what's important is to get the word out to the public to make them aware of this and keep the titling of it what it is — it's a hazardous waste landfill that they want to create. Selectman Szymanski indicated he understood that there is only one landfill in Connecticut currently, in Manchester, but it's not a hazardous landfill. He understood that there are no hazardous landfills in the State of Connecticut; he cited there are no permits to be issued to do that. Mr. Yagaloff felt that when DEEP approves the plan and it goes to the EPA under the Consent Agreement signed many years ago for this site then the Town has no control of the project once it's approved. Mr. Yagaloff felt the Town must head the project off now before it happens.

Mr. Yagaloff recalled that the Committee started the process of having public support, they had press there to get some publicity, we had a member of the public talk tonight about how he thought that was adverse publicity. At this point if they are willing to agree to meet with the Committee to discuss their plan the Board of Selectmen have to get involved at some point for what's going to happen here. Selectman Bowsza indicated that was his question, at what point has the Committee exceeded your charge? Mr. Yagaloff indicated the Committee hasn't exceeded its charge because they are just discussing the problem, the Committee hasn't signed anything. Selectman Bowsza countered that they were negotiating. Mr. Yagaloff reiterated the Committee is trying to ask them to not do what's been identified as the most serious problem. If the Board of Selectmen want to come to the Committee meetings or take over the project it's fine with the Committee; they'll give you the information the Committee has. Mr. Yagaloff reiterated the Committee will be asking the Board of Selectmen to agree not to have the landfill at this site. He clarified that the Town doesn't really have a stake in the property because it isn't a property owner; they created a Committee to try to influence the property owner. The Town doesn't really have a stake in this other than there might be a lawsuit down the road potentially; the Town has the right to bring a Federal lawsuit to ask for an injunction if they don't follow the Consent Order. The Town can stop it, at least go to the judge and raise these issues. Mr. Yagaloff noted the Committee is trying to be transparent with the Board of Selectmen, they come in and give reports about what's going on and Andy serves on the Committee.

Selectman Szymanski reiterated this is a very serious situation. If this material isn't taken out there is nothing worse for the town of Broad Brook. Selectman Szymanski felt getting the publicity was critical to this. Selectman Szymanski recalled that in 1989 of so they (the State) wanted to put a nuclear waste dump in the Windsorsorville and Ellington area, the people had rallies and got thousands of people involved, even people from outside of the state – Jesse Jackson came in and helped them with that against that and they were successful. Selectman Szymanski cited that would have been the end of this town, and Ellington. Mr. Yagaloff

suggested that if anyone thinks it's ok to take 1300 cubic yards of heavy carcinogenic soils and pulling it out of the ground and to create a new pile and cover it with an impervious cover – if anyone thinks it's ok and they aren't concerned about having that pile behind the church and the buildings then something is wrong; people are not taking this seriously. This is the kind of material that causes cancer in people for hundreds of years, and the concentrations are dozens to hundreds of times the maximum acceptable level of these compounds. Mr. Yagaloff reiterated this is not minor stuff; these are serious, dangerous compounds sitting over there.

Mr. Yagaloff reported the Committee was preparing to come to a public hearing to educate people in the community, to make the public aware of these concerns. The Committee is hoping the property owner will agree to meeting to discuss these other options before calling the public hearing. What they (the property owner) wants to do for the public hearing is to set up "stations". Mr. Yagaloff suggested a public hearing is where they (the property owner) sits there and answers questions and people and members of the Committee can get up and ask hard questions, so everyone can hear it. The property owner doesn't want to do that; they want to set up stations to educate people about the VOCs - that concept essentially breaks up the people at the meeting across a bunch of presentations so people can't unify for a discussion about what's happening. Mr. Yagaloff suggested the Committee will be opposing that.

David King questioned if it be accurate to say for all intents and purposes it's currently a toxic landfill? Mr. Yagaloff suggested the chemicals are in the ground from whenever the building burned down or the vats of chromium and stuff was poured down, or they were dumping stuff in areas over the years. That's the stuff that's in the ground, including at the surface so that area is contaminated.

Tom Talamini felt we all should be careful of using the word "landfill"; he disagreed with the concept of creating a landfill. Mr. Talamini suggested we're just taking care of our problem on site. He felt it's not a landfill because we're not going for an approval to be a landfill. Mr. Yagaloff suggested that some people have called the consolidation area a landfill. Discussion continued on the term "landfill". Selectman Szymanski noted he's spoken with someone in the State and that person has said this qualifies for a landfill. Mr. Yagaloff cautioned that DEEP will approve this if we don't speak up; they'll give it conditional approval subject to the public hearing. If we don't do anything DEEP is going to stamp this; it will happen, and we'll be out of luck. Mr. Yagaloff suggested this is the opportunity; time is very tight; the Committee is running a hard schedule and is ready to meet with the owners to discuss options.

Deputy First Selectman Dearborn requested clarification that the cost to take the material out was \$150,000? Mr. Yagaloff indicated that's the gross cost; they won't have to do the impervious cover. Deputy First Selectman Dearborn indicated that's chump change. He felt that seemed cheap but he felt if that's the number than he wouldn't settle for anything less than bringing a lawsuit against them, get an injunction against them so they can't do it. Mr. Yagaloff noted the gentleman last night said it was a lot of money. Selectman Hoffman suggested they have to dig the material up anyway. Mr. Yagaloff suggested there's still \$1.6 million of State money that hasn't been spent; why can't that money be used for this? Mr. Austin suggested he didn't care

about the number, if they decide they are going to dump it there we should step in. Selectman Hoffman felt the Committee has moved them a fair amount from where they wanted to start with. He suggested they were going to be removing material from the hot spots to the consolidation area. He felt it's always been about money. It isn't even the \$150,000; they still have to dig it up to move it 150 yards and build this impervious shield and grass it in. Mr. Austin cited the need to monitor the site as well. Selectman Hoffman suggested there's a delta there, which probably isn't nearly the \$150,000 if that cost estimate is correct. Selectman Hoffman suggested the Committee will be going out with an RFP to get accurate information to deal with the facts. Selectman Hoffman felt it's important the public understands the situation; if there is a lot of opposition Selectman Hoffman didn't feel UTC wants to be seen as the large conglomerate picking on a small town; that isn't their style. The Committee would like the site to be useable, getting a private developer in there at some point; maybe even putting a Town park in there. Selectman Hoffman suggested if they get their way nothing will happen, trees will grow in there and even that's dangerous because tree may be able to permeate the shield. What they want to do is forever, and even with good maintenance bad things happen. Selectman Hoffman indicated the Committee would like to use the Town website as information to the people so they can make them aware so when they come to the meeting with UTC and DEEP people will be prepared to ask tough questions and listen intelligently and, we hope, oppose what they want to do unless they do us right.

Mr. Yagaloff reported that a respected person in town said on Facebook that this is one of the most important issues confronting East Windsor, and particularly Broad Brook. And it's something we need to be concerned about. The concept that some people might say this is a private issue, this doesn't involve us, this isn't one of those things that the public or the Town should be concerned about strikes him as short sighted because that will stay contaminated forever. Those materials don't degrade, they sit in the ground forever, thousands of years, and no you will be using that site unless they have enormous wealth to go in there and clean it out or get approvals from the State; it will be enormously expensive.

Mr. Yagaloff suggested if this were downtown Stamford, or Baltimore, or New York City, or Cleveland a developer would be going in there to clean it up but this is downtown Broad Brook, no one will come in to Broad Brook to do that, so to write this off as a hazardous waste site that's fenced in for perpetuity and to think that's something that would be ok for Broad Brook or East Windsor is something that our community shouldn't allow.

Selectman Szymanski referenced a site in Waterbury that was owned by Waterbury Brass, they came in and took down the buildings and were going to use it for residential but after they started the digging and testing they ran into the same issues that we have here, and they fenced it and they put a cover over it and it could never be used for anything. And the cover wasn't impervious, Environmental Services goes there periodically to take the plants that are growing through there. Selectman Szymanski suggested we can't let that happen here.

Paul Anderson questioned if there was a way to turn this around as a positive for UTC as opposed to saying it's going to cost them; maybe they could use some good publicity out of

saying we're going to clean this up because it's the right thing to do? Mr. Yagaloff reiterated that the Committee is at a point where they've asked them if they would be willing to sit down and come to discuss ways to solve the problem. Mr. Yagaloff suggested rather than pushing hard with information that would embarrass them, we're trying to work with them and we view them as partners with the town. Mr. Yagaloff noted the Committee is serving to get the process to that point, and they want to compliment them at the end for doing good work, and we want to thank you (the Board of Selectmen). The Committee wants to be able to say everyone partnered to create an outcome that everyone could live with. That's the goal. Mr. Yagaloff noted the Committee is trying to avoid the public hearing approving the current plan; they're trying to change it before it goes to that point.

Mr. Lyke questioned what the Town needs to do strategically to deal with the DEEP? The last time we had a hazardous waste dump we had problems over in Windsorville, waste was going in there, and potential waste was being proposed here. We were dealing with a State Representative that was for that plan for her constituents in this town. How do we come up with a combined effort here and build some kind of consortium to get through to DEEP? He questioned if we need to bring in our State Representatives, or State Senator? Mr. Yagaloff reiterated the first thing is the Committee needs the Board of Selectmen to step in at the right time to add your energy to the process and to push this over the line. The Committee's meetings have been long, hard meetings which have sometimes been exhausting, doing the research after the meetings; they need the Board of Selectmen and the people that live in this town, the community leaders to push this over the line. Mr. Yagaloff noted they've tried to identify what are the primary issues so we can focus on that and the next step is to a force a partnership with those people. Everyone is going to need to work together to get this across the line. It's a really important issue.

Bill Loos felt the Committee has done a great job. He noted the people haven't heard anything in the meetings in the past on this issue, this is the first time he has heard some positive lines of talk about this problem. Mr. Loos suggested the Committee did a good job.

NEW BUSINESS/C. Discussion of East Windsor Middle School roof leak:

Len Norton, Supervisor for the Department of Public Works, joined the Board. Mr. Norton suggested that probably the most important document in the handout is the e-mail on top from Tim Mulcahy who is with the Garland Company, the manufacturer of the roof that was put on the Middle School; they will be guaranteeing the roof for 20 years. Mr. Norton indicated that Mr. Mulcahy was on site at least weekly multiple times. Mr. Norton indicated that when they first started looking into the roof they found out there was a lot more roof to be replaced – the decking under the roof, more than they thought, had deteriorated; it was the original roof. Selectman Szymanski questioned that they did the testing? Mr. Norton indicated the architect and Mr. Mulcahy did the borings. Selectman Szymanski suggested they underestimated what needed to be changed. Mr. Norton indicated that you do test samples and borings but you can't possibly test the old roof. It's like renovating a house, you just don't know what you're going to come up with. Selectman Hoffman questioned that all the roofing came off? Mr. Norton

replied affirmatively, noting that they were planning on replacing some of the decking but ended up having to replace more of the decking than the architect anticipated by the samples that he took. Mr. Norton indicated he was warned not to walk in certain spots due to the condition of the decking.

Mr. Norton reported that the Cafeteria roof continued to have leaking problems. He kept getting conflicting reports. They felt it was the driving rain coming in where it shouldn't have so they removed the flashings and metal clips and replaced them, and finally got the Cafeteria roof under control.

Mr. Norton indicated the skylight was also giving them a problem and some flashing and metal panels were also removed and replaced, and they replaced/added new ice and water shields. Mr. Norton referenced the heavy rains of the previous Monday, he noted nothing came in at the skylight.

Mr. Norton reported Mr. Mulcahy is saying he's confident the issues are now resolved, it's a watertight system, you can consider the project complete. Mr. Norton indicated the guy needs to be paid, but, they also have a CHRO (Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities) issue, the contractor is not in compliance regarding some issue related to his company. Mr. Norton cited he received the e-mail regarding the CHRO issue after the fact. Discussion followed concerning potential areas in which the contractor may not have been in compliance. Mr. Norton indicated they are holding 2%; he would not be paying the contractor until the CHRO issue was resolved. Mr. Norton reported he has e-mail from Roger Baker saying there were no leaks on June 18th; Mr. Mulcahy has also been checking everything out. Mr. Norton noted Selectman Szymanski had requested information, he referenced the packet includes records of all of the job meetings, the architect was there and he believed that Mr. Mulcahy was there as well. Selectman Hoffman questioned what amount of funding was being held back? Selectman Bowsza suggested 5%, Mr. Norton indicated he is holding back \$103,450 - the guy is calling every day. Mr. Norton suggested it appears they have taken care of their issues; we have a secure watertight roof. He indicated Mr. Mulcahy will warranty this for 20 years; he has said there is no compromising of the insulation, there was no penetration from any of these leaks that got into the insulation.

Selectman Szymanski questioned if they could get mold at some point; that would cost a huge amount of money. Mr. Sauerhoefer noted there's a rubber membrane above it; he gave an explanation of the product. Mr. Norton indicated he'd discussed that issue previously; he would ask about that possibility again. Selectman Hoffman questioned what would give Mr. Norton confidence to close this issue out; is it days or an event? Mr. Norton suggested CHRO must give him some written confirmation that it's ok to pay this guy. Mr. Sauerhoefer provided an e-mail received from the contractor/installer late this afternoon; Mr. Norton read from the e-mail and noted the contractor indicated the CHRO issues have been resolved. CHRO has said that the Town can only hold 2% back, so the contractor is looking for 3% of the 5% retained on the project. The contractor has indicated that CHRO won't release the complaint until they hold their Board Meeting on July 11th; he is looking for payment from the Town earlier. Discussion

followed regarding CHRO's ability to comment on the amount being retained by the Town; Mr. Norton noted that if the contractor fails to comply with the CHRO issue then the Town must pay the 2% retainage to the Special Fund for minority businesses. Selectman Szymanski would like to know more about the CHRO involvement.

Selectman Szymanski questioned if there was a final punchlist outstanding prior to issuing the final payment? Mr. Norton suggested the job meetings function as a punchlist; Mr. Sauerhoefer referenced job meeting #14 – the architect and Mr. Mulcahy inspected the job, there were minor items such as lawn issues, flashings, and snowrail. Mr. Norton noted the leak issue came up after the architect did his inspection, and then the CHRO issue came up. Selectman Szymanski questioned if the Town needs to file anything with the bonding company, like they did in Hartford after the issues came up with the stadium. Mr. Norton indicated the architect and Mr. Mulcahy have indicated we should be in good shape now. Mr. Norton felt they probably will have one more job meeting with the architect and Mr. Mulcahy to make absolutely sure they're happy with it. Once we make the final payment the Town will be handed the warrantee which he felt would go to the Board of Education because it's their building. Selectman Szymanski questioned if there is a bond on the company warrantying the roof, if they go out of business? Mr. Norton cited they've also done work on the Town Hall roof and the Annex, they would be responsible to fix issues, if they go out of business then Firestone would have to assign another Firestone certified installer to do this work.

Selectman Szymanski thanked Mr. Norton and Mr. Sauerhoefer for the information provided.

NEW BUSINESS/D. Approval of Tax Abatements:

First Selectman Maynard reported the Board has been asked to consider approving a tax abatement for Pauline Legassie. Ms. Legassie is a Veteran, who qualifies for a tax abatement on the cost of her rental vehicle.

MOTION: To APPROVE the Tax Abatement for Pauline Legassie for the years 2015 and 2016 for \$96.

Maynard moved/Hoffman seconded/

DISCUSSION: Selectman Bowsza questioned that the total Tax was \$96 and the Town was settling for \$48? First Selectman Maynard noted she is a Veteran and the Tax Abatement is for two years.

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

NEW BUSINESS/E. Approval of Tax Refunds:

MOTION: To APPROVE Tax Refunds in the amount of \$4,382.37 as identified under Tax Refund Report dated June 20, 2018.

Dearborn moved/Szymanski seconded/DISCUSSION: None

VOTE:

In Favor:

Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski

SELECTMEN COMMENTS AND REPORTS/A. Jason Bowsza:

- June 11th he attended the joint Board of Selectmen/Board of Finance Meeting and was proud to support the funding approved tonight and the Youth Services Bureau. The Youth Services Bureau will serve as a central hub of services currently available to students and families in town. More than '20 towns in Connecticut have a Youth Services Bureau; I'm glad we will now too.
- **June 16**th he attended the 250th Anniversary Committee Revolutionary War Reenactment and Food Truck Festival; it was a gorgeous day.
- Sunday was Father's Day, he was proud to spend it with his amazing dad.
- June 13th he attended the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting The PZC approved the General Development Plan for the MMCT casino, which signifies another important step for this project. The PZC vote was unanimous

SELECTMEN COMMENTS AND REPORTS/B. Charles J. Szymanski:

Selectman Szymanski also attended the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting regarding approval of the casino. Selectman Szymanski felt the people on the Zoning Board did a really good job and had good questions, they were thorough but were explicit as to what's needed and the people that presented were equally pleased. It was a good day.

SELECTMEN COMMENTS AND REPORTS/C. Steve Dearborn:

- He also attended the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting approving the casino.
- He attended the Revolutionary War Reenactment, which was cool. Deputy First Selectman Dearborn suggested he would have hated to be a patient back then. The cannon was something else. Ms. Talamini indicated she was glad he could make the event.

SELECTMEN COMMENTS AND REPORTS/D. Andy Hoffman:

Selectman Hoffman reported the EDC met on June 18th, representatives from CERC continue to work with Virtual Town Hall to create the EDC website. CERC was to have provided a milestone schedule for the project but has not yet done that. They did report that the website is to be completed by July 15th and should go live in mid-August. CERC started inventorying the marketable properties but found it to be a larger job than anticipated; Chairman Moffett recommended that they start with the larger parcels located on Route 5 and Route 140 and leave smaller properties for evaluation and combining later.

In addition they had a discussion with the Mill Committee; Mr. Yagaloff covered that in his presentation earlier. Selectman Hoffman felt the people on the EDC has a great interest in doing that right; Mr. Lyke sat in on the meeting held on Wednesday.

Selectman Hoffman indicated the members of the EDC also reviewed the MMCT plans with the assistance of Town Planner Whitten. That review was the Commission's first viewing of the plans; everyone seemed happy with the appearance of the building and the way the set up is going to be. The only concern raised was the one that continues for the people on the PZC, particularly the traffic on Route 140.

SELECTMEN COMMENTS AND REPORTS/E. Robert Maynard:

First Selectman Maynard indicated he had nothing additional to report this evening.

SIGNATURES FOR APPROVAL OF CHECK REGISTERS:

The Selectmen reviewed the registers presented and took appropriate action.

EXECUTIVE SESSION/Pursuant to C.G.S. Sec. 1-200 (6-a), Sec. 1-210 (b-1) (b-4) Litigation, Negotiations and Employment – Action is possible:

First Selectman Maynard called for a five minute break at 9:18 p.m., with the Selectmen to go into Executive Session Pursuant to C.G.S. Sec. 1-200 (6-a), Sec. 1-210 (b-1) (b-4) Litigation, Negotiations and Employment immediately following the break. Attending the Executive Session will be First Selectman Maynard, Deputy First Selectman Dearborn, Selectman Bowsza, Selectman Hoffman, and Selectman Szymanski.

The Board came out of Executive Session at 10:30 p.m.

MOTION: To APPROVE the realignment of the First Selectman's Office as described in Attachment A.

Hoffman moved/Bowsza seconded/

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

(Attachment A referenced in the motion above was not provided as it was a document produced in Executive Session). (See Attachment A)

ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION: To ADJOURN this Meeting at 10:32 p.m.

Szymanski moved/Hoffman seconded/

VOTE: In Favor: Unanimous (Maynard/Dearborn/Bowsza/Hoffman/Szymanski)

Respectfully submitted,

Peg Hoffman Recording Secretary, East Windsor Board of Selectmen Attachment A Budget Excerpt

Attachment B - Deposit Account Resolution with United Bank

BOV-6/21/2018 Attackment a.

	fy17-18		fy 18-19	fy 18-19 Difference
jen's salary	\$55,546	Executive Assistant	\$58,000	\$2,454
Amanda's	\$51,740	Administrative Assistant	\$51,740	\$0
Bob's	\$74,283	First Selectman	\$75,460	\$1,177
Total	\$181,569		\$185,200	\$3,631
Budget fy17-18	\$181,545	Budget fy18-19	\$185,204	\$3,659

BOV-6/21/2018 - lettachment B

UNITED BANK DEPOSIT ACCOUNT RESOLUTION OF MUNICIPALITY

Name of Municipality <u>Town of East Windsor</u>	EIN <u>06-6001993</u>
Address 11 Rye Street	Phone # <u>860-292-5909</u>
City Broad Brook	State <u>CT</u> Zip Code <u>06015-9553</u>
For Bank Use only	

I HEREBY CERTIFY to United Bank (the "Bank") that, at a meeting of the Board of Selectmen of the Town of East Windsor (the "Municipality), a municipality incorporated or otherwise organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut, duly called and held on the 21 day of June, 2018, the following resolutions ("Deposit Account Resolutions") were duly adopted, are in conformity with the charter, articles of incorporation and codes, rules and regulations of the Municipality and are in full force and effect:

RESOLVED, that the Bank is hereby designated as a depository in which the funds of this Municipality may, from time to time, subject to the rules and regulations of the Bank, be deposited.

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the persons designated below ("Authorized Positions") are authorized to establish a depository relationship with the Bank and are authorized to, from time to time, open one or more deposit account(s) of any type. It is agreed and understood that, in addition to the activities specifically authorized in these Deposit Account Resolutions, the designated Authorized Persons are vested with all power and authority described and granted to the Municipality in the deposit account agreement governing each account that is established in the name of the Municipality. The Authorized Representatives are authorized to execute and deliver for and on behalf of this Municipality any and all documents and instruments and to take all actions and do any and all things, all of which are hereby approved and ratified by this Municipality, to accomplish the actions herein authorized.

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Bank is hereby authorized and directed to honor, pay and charge to any of the accounts of this Municipality, without inquiry to or responsibility for the application of the proceeds thereof, all checks, drafts, notes or other orders for the payment, withdrawal or transfer of funds or money deposited in an account of the Municipality or to the credit of this Municipality (and to honor any instructions regarding same) when signed, accepted, endorsed or approved, as evidenced by original or facsimile signature of an Authorized Person, and whether or not payable to or for the credit of any Authorized Person signing or otherwise ordering same or whether payable to or by any other officer, agent or employee of this Municipality.

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Bank be and his hereby authorized and directed to honor, pay and charge to any of the accounts of this Municipality, without inquiry to or responsibility for the application of the proceeds thereof, any authorization by an Authorized Person for the transfer of funds between different accounts of the Municipality, whether written, oral, by phone or electronic means. The Authorized Persons are authorized to enter into agreements with the Bank for the transfer of funds by electronic means.

FURTHER RESOLVED, that such Authorized Persons are authorized to establish and maintain from time to time one or more safe deposit boxes.

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Municipality assumes full responsibility for and holds the Bank harmless from any and all payments made or any other actions taken by the Bank in reliance upon the signature, including facsimiles thereof, of the Authorized Persons regardless of whether or not the use of the facsimile signature was unlawful or unauthorized and regardless of by whom or by what means the

BW-6/2018- attachment B

purported signature or facsimile signature may have been affixed to any instrument, if such facsimile signatures reasonably resemble the facsimile signatures as provided to the Bank, or for refusing to honor any signatures not provided to the Bank, and that this Municipality agrees to indemnify the Bank against any and all claims, demands, losses, costs, damages or expenses suffered or incurred by the Bank resulting from or arising out of any such payment or other action.

FURTHER RESOLVED, that these resolutions shall remain in force until revocation in writing is received by the Bank, and the Bank shall be entitled to presume that the Authorized Persons remain authorized to exercise the authority granted by these resolutions, including, but not limited to, the authority to sign checks, drafts, notes and other orders for the payment of money until receipt of written notice to the contrary.

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the officer of the Municipality certifying these resolutions and executing this document is authorized to notify Bank, by written certification, of any changes in the appointments of Authorized Persons. Certifications by such officer of additional or deleted Authorized Persons are not required to be in the form of resolutions adopted by this Municipality. Bank shall be fully protected in relying and acting upon such certifications.

Authorized Persons:

	Asst. Treasurer	
Name (print)	Title	Facsimile/Specimen Signature
	Treasurer	
Name (print)	Title	Facsimile/Specimen Signature
Name (print)	Title	Facsimile/Specimen Signature
Name (print)	Title	Facsimile/Specimen Signature
IN WITNESS WHERE	OF, I have hereunto subscribe	d my name as Town Clerk, (Title) of the Town of East
	his day of	
		* n
Name		
Title		
Signature		